

We affirm.

Our sole contention is losing interest.

Current national debt is reaching precarious levels. [Brennan Weiss of the Business Insider](#) writes, “failure to address our long-term fiscal situation has increased the national debt to over \$20 trillion,” the highest in history. Following the Trump administration’s newly passed tax bill, this number will only grow.

Despite losing government revenue, however, Congress will continue running up the deficit. The [New York Times](#) reports, “After embracing \$1.5 trillion in debt by slashing taxes on corporations and wealthy families... Republican leaders in Congress pushed through a two-year budget deal... that will increase spending by nearly \$400 billion.”

Indeed, the idea that conservatives are more fiscally responsible is a myth. [Daniel Mitchell of CNS News](#) finds, after looking at domestic spending over eight presidencies and 50 years, that Republicans generally recorded much higher rates of domestic expenditures.

[The Daily Beast](#) furthers, “when Democrats are in office, Republicans [complain] about deficits and the debt, but... magically forget about all that once they take power. This [is due to] an inescapable structural problem [where] almost all of the incentives lead to more spending.” Defunding popular programs is “politically difficult, because the people who lose the most tend to pay the [most attention](#).”

National debt has risen to the point where simple solutions will not cut it. [Jeanne Sahadi of CNN](#) explains, “there is abundant evidence showing that taxes must be part of debt reduction, however distasteful the GOP finds them. [The] looming debt problem is just too big. And reducing it by spending cuts alone would require draconian changes that could hurt the economy far more than a mix of [spending and tax reform].”

Moreover, “lawmakers trying to negotiate spending cuts this year alone [were limited to a] working range [of] Between \$10 billion and \$61 billion.” In the context of a \$20 trillion check, this won’t be nearly enough.

Absent a comprehensive plan to reduce debt, government interest payments will skyrocket. [Collins ‘18 of USA Today explains](#) that interest payments on U.S. debt are projected to total \$7 trillion over the next decade and, by 2026, will become the third largest category of the federal budget.

[Schwartz '18 of the New York Times contextualizes](#) that interest payments will overtake Medicaid in 2020 and the Department of Defense budget in 2023.

[Tanous '18 of CNBC adds](#) since interest rates may soon increase to 5%, US interest payments may consume 50% of all income tax revenue within two years.

Problematically, this increase in mandatory spending constricts fiscal flexibility in times of economic downturn.

[Ghilarducci '18 of Forbes explains](#) that high interest payments limit the amount the government can spend on fiscal stimuli during a recession.

[The Economist '18 adds](#) that as debt grows, fiscal stimuli will be even more important to recovery in the case of a looming recession.

Unfortunately, a financial crash is coming. [Fortune](#) explains, “Economies follow cycles... at some point, economic activity reaches a temporal peak, then begins to contract until eventually it bottoms out and starts growing once more... All [signs point to the fact that this] is happening now. The Congressional Budget Office finds that this year, the economy has begun overheating in just this way, producing more than its sustainable long term potential... Inflation and interest rates are rising and will likely continue to do so. With all those factors combining... “We know that we are in the ‘late-cycle’ part” of [a catastrophic, massively unstable] business cycle.”

The impact of ignoring our national debt is a global economic crisis.

Any US recession, regardless of the cause, spreads to the rest of the world. [According to Friedman '17 of the Huffington Post](#), the US is the world’s largest importer and has been the engine stabilizing the international system. A recession would inevitably involve a drop in U.S. imports and outgoing investment, which destabilizes global economies that have become excessively reliant on exports and FDI.

Thus the [World Bank '10 reports](#) the recession of 2008 pushed 64 million people around the world into poverty.

The longer we wait, the more there are at risk. The [St. Louis Fed](#) found that “for each year of recession over the past 33 years, it has taken about four years of expansion for the gap to return to its pre-recession level.”

Thus, we affirm.