INDICTS

A2 Fortna

FL AFF

A2A2 Offensive missions good - evidence cited doesn't take into account that offensive missions are usually more violent

FL CON

A2A2 USA controlling role on the IMF A2A2 India controlling role on the IMF A2A2 Won't finalize A2A2 This Card A2A2 India's not stupid

BLOCKS

<u>A2 Peacekeeping</u> <u>A2 Chinese fiat rhetoric</u>

Peace enforcement

Peace enforcement involves the application of a range of coercive measures, including the use of military force. It requires the explicit authorization of the Security Council.

It is used to restore international peace and security in situations where the Security Council has decided to act in the face of a threat to the peace, breach of the peace or act of aggression. The Council may utilize, where appropriate, regional organizations and agencies for enforcement action under its authority and in accordance with the <u>UN Charter</u>.

INDICTS

A2 Fortna

1. Fortna concedes that her results are not statistically significant, meaning there

Observer missions appear to have the largest effect on the durability of peace, reducing the hazard of peace failing by 80%. Notice, however, the hazard ratio for enforcement missions (1.88). This means that in the post-WWII period, the risk of war was almost 90% higher when an

enforcement mission was in place. If anything, enforcement missions are associated with unstable peace, **though this finding is not statistically signif icant**.

FL AFF

A2A2 Offensive missions good - evidence cited doesn't take into account that offensive missions are usually more violent

FL CON

A2A2 USA controlling role on the IMF

1. Have like 16% of the votes, definitely not a veto. Outsize influence sure, but not a veto.

A2A2 India controlling role on the IMF

1. 2.34% voting share

http://cepr.net/images/stories/reports/IMF-voting-shares-2016-04.pdf



A2A2 Won't finalize

Staff Report, 4-28-2019, "IMF delegation to arrive in Pakistan on Monday ," No Publication,

https://www.pakistantoday.com.pk/2019/04/27/imf-delegation-to-arrive-pakistan-on-monday/ A delegation of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) will visit Pakistan from Monday.

Finance Division Spokesperson Dr Khaqan Najeeb said that the purpose of the visit is to hold technical negotiations for progress on IMF programme.

He said that the preparation of an economic framework is in the final phase for dialogues with the IMF team. Concerned departments and organisations will participate in the talks with the delegation, he said.

The spokesperson said the delegation will hold a meeting with State Bank and Privatisation officials, adding that the tax officials will also hold talks with the IMF team.

https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/world/pakistan/pm-khan-meets-imf-chief-wb-ceo-in-beijing-seeks-backing-for-bailout-package-for-pak/articleshow/69063795.cms

A2A2 This Card

1. This is about P-5 promising loans for votes. Not linked.

https://ideas.repec.org/p/kof/wpskof/06-151.html

We investigate whether temporary members of the UN Security Council receive favorable treatment from the IMF, using panel data for 191 countries over the period 1951 to 2004. Our results indicate a robust positive relationship between temporary UN Security Council membership and participation in IMF programs, even after accounting for economic and political factors, as well as regional and country effects, and duration dependence. There is also evidence that UNSC membership reduces the number of conditions included in IMF programs. The size of the loan, however, is not affected by UNSC membership.

A2A2 India's not stupid

1. Bruh India views Pakistan as a fundamentally illegitimate country and just like Israel and Palestine will do whatever they can get away with to undermine the other.

BLOCKS

A2 Peacekeeping

1. Magnitude. WaPo in 2017 - Doubling the number of peacekeepers deployed, which is way more than our opponents link into, would save 150,000 deaths. We impact out to 4 million Pakistanis getting pushed into poverty.

This ambitious but effective scenario wouldn't be exorbitantly expensive — according to our simulations, it would require maintaining an annual \$17 billion U.N. peacekeeping, approximately twice the current level. This is substantial, but given the cost of armed conflicts that can easily reach in the tens of billions of dollars each, it is clearly a cost-effective intervention. When politicians criticize U.N. peacekeeping for being expensive, they rarely consider the costs of war avoided through effective peacekeeping. A typical major conflict causes about 2,500 direct battle-related deaths per year. Over the 2001 to 2013 period, ambitious PKO deployment could, according to our estimates, have saved about 150,000 direct deaths. Massive indirect deaths due to conflict violence would also have been averted. Of course, the countries that contribute to U.N. peacekeeping with troops or funds are not necessarily those who reap the direct benefits. The costs of war, however, are not born solely by the countries in conflict, as there is potential for contagion of the conflict reach well beyond the initial region.

A2 Chinese fiat rhetoric

 Argument is absurd. This is like saying on the gun control topic that affirming means you assume there are no Republicans in Congress and then you impact out to climate change. This debate is not educational because it is very counterfactual and we'd be better off just asking whether or not the consequences of the gun reform are good or bad than the way the stars would align to actually pass the policy.