Atharva and I negate the resolution;

Our sole contention is fanning the flames.

<u>Swaine '13</u> explains that "[While China supports]... efforts to... control disputes through negotiation, sustain... cooperation with neighbors, and generally avoid conflict... it [also] seeks to maintain [a] resolute defense against perceived attempts... [to] undermine China's... position involving [maritime] disputes."

However, he continues that "[in the case of US intervention, these objectives contradict each other, and] Beijing seems to adopt [the latter view and thus maintains its defense]... [resulting] in efforts to... strengthen [itself] by... increasing its overall ability to patrol and operate in disputed areas."

Fortunately, US presence in the South China Sea is declining, as <u>Lendon '17</u> reports that "[Despite intermittent shows of power, like military exercises], top [navy] leaders acknowledge [that we] lack the money, manpower, and weapons to ensure success [in Pacific challenges]."

This lack of a committed US presence has allowed negotiations to begin between China and Southeast Asian nations.

Reuters '18 finds that "[the Association of Southeast Asian Nations or ASEAN] and China have reached a "milestone" in talks... over a code of conduct... with a working text that will serve as a basis for future negotiations."

Zhou '17 explains that the code of conduct is "a set of norms to guide the conduct of parties and promote maritime cooperation in the South China Sea." **UNTV '18** corroborates, writing that the code will "ensure peace, stability, and confidence gets built up...whilst [the parties] take time to resolve the territorial disputes."

This has already manifested, as <u>Gupta</u> from a month ago reports that "China has resolved numerous sovereignty-linked disputes [in the South China Sea]... with countries large and small," adding that "a key feature in each instance [was] that the United States was neither an ally nor key defense partner of that country." Overall, <u>Bo '18</u> concludes that "the situation in the South China Sea is cooling down... [with] the biggest variable [being] the Sino-US... strategic competition."

Unfortunately, accession to UNCLOS increases US involvement in the region, re-igniting conflict in the South China Sea by pushing China to a hardline.

<u>Mirasola '15</u> finds that "[UNCLOS] provides [a] venue through which the U.S. could press its claims in the region." However, <u>Fuchs '16</u> explains that "[American presence in UNCLOS would confirm] the suspicions of hardliners in China who view international legal regimes as a vehicle for advancing U.S. interests."

Historically, this has increased hardliner clout, as Zhang '16 writes that after the Philippines vs. China 2016 arbitration case, "hardliners [were] winners in internal debates... [as the decision justified] suspicions [that the arbitration case was an American conspiracy against China]." He continues that as a result, "Chinese diplomats [deadlocked] negotiations [as] China [built] up its physical presence."

Importantly, Zhang continues that "[Chinese] leadership cannot... ignore [hardliners once they gain power] for fear of stoking popular nationalism... which can easily spin out of control." Thus, Ibarra '17 concludes that "[pressure from the US would] only trigger [China's] memory of... [being dominated] by foreign powers and... push Chinese foreign policy to a hardliner position lest... the Communist Party risk their domestic legitimacy."

Problematically, <u>Lague '12</u> explains that "[a hardline Chinese government would be] determined to block any effort from rival claimants to negotiate over disputes." This ends regional stability, as <u>Stratfor '17</u> explains that "For China... the very act of engaging in talks helps relieve the immediate tensions... and reduces the chances of... conflict."

Furthermore, Zhang '16 writes that hardliners believe China should "expand its territorial and military reach... [by] building the islands into mini-bases [and] conquering... features currently under other countries' control." Unfortunately, Zhang '17 finds that "China's military occupation of [other countries' islands]... may... trigger... regional war... but the hard-liners [incorrectly] believe that... [this would] be transitory and bearable."

The impact is economic destruction.

Fisher '16 writes that "\$5.3 trillion... [of] goods moves through the sea every year... [or] about 30 percent of global maritime trade," a sum which Winn '17 finds "would slow to a trickle" if a regional war occurred. Problematically, trade is key to alleviating poverty, as the World Bank '15 finds that as "developing countries now constitute 48% of world trade [from just 33% in 2000]... the number of people living in extreme poverty has been cut in half." Xu '14 adds that "1.5 billion people... rely heavily on [the South China Sea] for food and jobs."

Thus, we negate.

Extra Stuff

Zhang '16 continues that "[as a result], China's military [swiftly began] regular patrol of the South China Sea... [and] conducted a new round of military exercises...

Critically, <u>Valesco '13</u> reports that "regional organizations are 6.73 times more likely [than any other third-party] to craft an agreement that is not broken for at least 5 years."

Mourdoukoutas '18 finds that "[a hardline] stance [by China] on the South China Sea raises nationalism at home, pushing Beijing into a corner [if anything] happens."

Allison '17 reports that "Xi told his Politburo colleagues that "winning or losing public support is an issue that concerns the [Communist Party's] survival or extinction."'

<u>U.S Naval Institute '18</u> writes that "The [Chinese] People won't tolerate it if [they] lose territory yet again" due to the memory of China's century of humiliation at the hand of western powers.

Furthermore, <u>Xiaoming '18</u>, the Chinese ambassador to the United Kingdom, explains that the "parties [have] agreed to hold three more rounds of diplomatic consultations."

<u>Heydarian '18</u> finds that the Phillippines and China have reached "a suitable legal framework ... to "advance cooperation on offshore oil and gas exploration... [forming] a blossoming partnership laced with Chinese investment promises."

This happened again only two weeks ago, as <u>Huang</u> reports that "China was making a clear and deliberate statement that it will not tolerate challenges to its sovereignty when... a Chinese... destroyer sailed within 41 meters... of the USS Decatur."

Success in regional negotiations has precedent as <u>Hyer '15</u> writes that "[China] has sought improved relations with...smaller neighboring states [in the past and] has proved to be...willing to compromise in order to establish legitimate boundaries through peaceful negotiations, even ceding territory believed...to [have belonged] to China historically."